

Dogwood Speech and Debate League Public Forum Debate Ballot

Tournament Date:			Tournament Location:				
Round/ Flight:	Room:	II)ivision:		Affiliation/ Occupation:			
Resolution/Topic:							

EVERY round begins with a coin toss; the winning team has the option of choosing *either* the side (pro or con) *or* the speaking order (first or second) in the round; the losing team makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

AFTER the coin toss, ALLOW THE STUDENTS to record the following (the team on the left speaks first and should sit to the judge's left):

First Team			Second Team		
Code:	Side: Pro	Con	Code:	Side: Pro Con	
Speaker 1 Name:			Speaker 2 Name:		
Speaker 3 Name:			Speaker 4 Name:		

Winning Team: (circle one) Pro Con	Team Name/Code:	Team Points:
------------------------------------	-----------------	--------------

Rate each speaker from 20-30 points: 20-23 Below Average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

- Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs.
- Debaters should advocate or reject the resolution in manner clear to the non-specialist citizen judge (i.e., jury). Clash of ideas is essential to debate.
- Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum.
- Neither the pro nor con is permitted to offer a plan or counterplan, defined as a formalized, comprehensive proposal for implementation. Rather, they should offer reasoning to support a position of advocacy. Debaters may offer generalized, practical solutions.
- Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
- * No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

Comments to debaters:	Comments to debaters:
December for more decisions (site and sife annuments that had a beaution)	_

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

 Speaker 1
 4 min.

 Speaker 2
 4 min.

 Crossfire (1 & 2)*
 3 min.

 Speaker 3
 4 min.

 Speaker 4
 4 min.

 Crossfire (3 & 4)*
 3 min.

 Speaker 1 Summary
 2 min.

 Speaker 2 Summary
 2 min.

 Grand Crossfire (all)
 3 min.

 Speaker 3 Final Focus
 2 min.

 Speaker 4 Final Focus
 2 min.

 2 minutes of Prep Time per side

The first question is asked by the earlier speaker.

Revised: July 2015